

**NOTE:** As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: Vigil DATE TYPED: 02/18/03 HB 399

SHORT TITLE: Trip Tax Administrative Fee SB \_\_\_\_\_

ANALYST: Gilbert

### REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04			
	\$150.0	\$150.0	Recurring	Road Fund
	\$150.0	\$150.0	Recurring	Motor Transportation Division

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Relates to HB 400

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

#### Responses Received From

Department of Public Safety (DPS)

State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 399 establishes a one-dollar administrative fee to be imposed by the Motor Transportation Division in conjunction with the Trip Tax, the Caravan Tax, and Over-size/Overweight Fees. One-half of each fee would be distributed to the Motor Transportation Division for implementation, operation and maintenance of a commercial vehicle information system and network, and one-half would be distributed to the State Road Fund.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Motor Transportation Division (MTD) reports for FY 2002 show that approximately 330,000 transactions would be subject to the \$1 administrative fee. Transaction counts

declined from 385,000 in FY 2001 to 330,000 in FY 2002. If HB 399 is adopted, MTD estimates that revenue would increase by a total of \$300.0 for FY 2004 and subsequent years.

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

The State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD) specified the following concerns:

Section 1, Subsection B (on page 2, line 5) provides that one-half of the fees “shall be deposited in the state road fund”. These fees would probably be channeled through the Taxation and Revenue Department and distributed to the state road fund. Since the new administrative fee is to be compiled within the Motor Vehicle Code and presumably would be credited to the Motor Vehicle Suspense Fund pursuant to Section 66-6-22.1 NMSA 1978, a distribution provision should probably be included within Section 66-6-23 NMSA 1978.

### **RELATIONSHIP**

HB 400 implements a similar trip tax permit fee.

RLG/prr